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T}reating advanced periodontal disease sometimes means removing “hopeless” teeth, that is, those that would not respond to conventional treatment. Most believe these teeth should be removed during the first phase of treatment because retaining them would adversely affect the adjacent teeth.

According to Becker and co-workers,1 a tooth could be characterized as hopeless if two or more of the following characteristics were present:

- loss of more than 75 percent of supporting bone;
- pocket depths ≥ to 8 millimeters;
- Class III furcation involvement;
- hypermobility.

Becker and co-workers studied 95 patients treated for periodontal disease and followed them for three to 11 years (mean 6.6 years). Among the 102 teeth first characterized as hopeless, 20 were present at the second examination.

CASE REPORT
A 37-year-old male reported “infected gums.” Medical history was non-contributory, and the patient was not allergic to any medication. Extraoral examination revealed normal facial features without
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asymmetry. Intraoral examination showed normal floor of mouth, palatal and buccal mucosa. Gingival tissues were highly inflamed and bled easily on probing. Suppuration was seen at the maxillary left first molar and the mandibular left central incisor and right lateral incisor.

Pocket probing depths made with a Williams Probe (Hu-Friedy Co.) ranged from 2 mm to 10 mm. Grade II to III furcation involvement was noted at the maxillary molars. Mobility ranged from about zero to one in the posterior regions and up to grade three mobility in the anterior region as measured by the Ramford and Ash mobility index.2

Full-mouth radiographs consisted of 16 periapical films using the parallel extension cone technique described by Updegrave.3 Examination of radiographs revealed generalized advanced bone loss (> 50 percent) as described by Lindhe and Nyman,4 with several areas showing > 80 percent bone loss. Horizontal and angular bone loss was noted. Using Becker’s criteria, 10 teeth were characterized as hopeless.

Although the patient was made aware of the severity of his periodontal disease and the need for treatment, he declined any extractions. Therapy included home care instruction, scaling and root planing using Columbia Series curettes (4R/4L, 2R/2L and 13/14, Hu-Friedy Co.) and Cavitron Model 76 ultrasonic scalers (TF110 Flo-thru tip, Dentsply). The mandibular incisors were shortened and temporarily splinted with acid-etch Concise composite (3M Co.) and stainless steel mesh wire (American Orthodontics). No other occlusal therapy was done and healing was uneventful.

Using Wright’s technique,5 reverse bevel flap surgery was performed in the upper and lower arches to give better access for root debridement. Surgery involved buccal and lingual flaps, scaling and planing the exposed roots and osteoplasty to ease flap closure. No ostectomy was done; the maxillary incisors were treated with root planing alone. Healing from the surgery was uneventful.
After this phase of treatment was completed, the patient received professional tooth cleanings and polishings every two to three months, alternating between the general practitioner and the periodontist. During the 8½ years of maintenance, periodic photographs, radiographs and clinical probing measurements were taken and all teeth were retained.

**DISCUSSION**

DeVore and co-workers\(^6\) studied the effect of hopeless teeth on adjacent teeth. Seventeen patients with a total of 17 hopeless teeth were treated and followed for about 3½ years. The authors found that retaining hopeless teeth did not cause further loss of crestal bone at the adjacent teeth.

Heins and co-workers\(^8\) used radiographic tracings to evaluate changes in crestal bone height in angular defects, comparing deep-side to shallow-side bone height. Fifty-one mandibular posterior sites were treated and followed for two to 30 years (mean 11.8 years). The authors found that shallow-side defects and advanced deep-side defects remained unchanged or demonstrated crestal regrowth of bone after treatment. They concluded that the deep side of a proximal angular defect does not pose a threat to the bone height of an adjacent shallow side.

Machtei and co-workers\(^9,10\) studied teeth adjacent to 145 untreated hopeless teeth, which were defined as > 50 percent loss of alveolar bone height. Eighty-two hopeless teeth were retained and 63 were extracted. The bone height of the adjacent teeth was measured. The average follow-up period was 4.1 years. Average bone loss of teeth adjacent to retained untreated hopeless teeth was 3.12 percent of root length compared to only 0.23 percent of root length of teeth adjacent to extracted hopeless teeth. The authors concluded that hopeless teeth without periodontal treatment jeopardize adjacent teeth.

Sternig\(^1\) reported a case of advanced periodontitis with several hopeless teeth. Treatment involved root planing, temporary splinting with wire and acrylic and maintenance therapy every five to six months for eight years. Among the 29 teeth originally present, only two teeth were lost.

McGuire\(^12\) reported on 39 patients treated and observed for five years. Among the 14 teeth initially labeled as hopeless, six were present at the completion of the study.

Lindhe and co-workers\(^13\) monitored the rate of progression of periodontal disease without therapy in 64 subjects for six years. The group was examined at baseline, at three years and at six years. During the six-year interval, 11.6 percent of the examined sites showed significant attachment loss (> 2 mm), 0.2 percent showed a > 2 mm attachment "gain" and the others showed insignificant changes in either loss or gain or no change.

Curiously, Lindhe and co-workers\(^14\) found that 50 percent of sites that showed no measurable changes during the first three years showed loss in the next three years. And of those sites that showed some level of attachment loss in the first three years, two-thirds of those showed no loss during the next three years. Therefore, diseased sites may be less likely to show further attachment loss.

Clinical parameters have been thought to predict future
periodontal disease activity. Haffajee and co-workers examined 3,414 untreated sites in 22 subjects. Repeated attachment level measurements were recorded every two months for one year. The following clinical parameters were analyzed to see if their presence would indicate attachment loss (sensitivity) while their absence would indicate no further attachment loss (specificity): gingival redness; plaque; suppuration; bleeding on probing; pocket depth; attachment level; presence of molars; presence of interproximal surfaces.

No parameters could predict future disease activity or inactivity. This study suggests that retention of teeth with a hopeless prognosis may be justified.

Many of the molars reported in this case had moderate to advanced furcation involvement. Treating these teeth often includes root resection presumably to improve their prognosis. Langer and co-workers studied molars treated by root resection for 10 years and found a 38 percent loss rate. Causes of loss included recurrent decay and endodontic or restorative failure in addition to progressive periodontal disease.

Ross and Thompson studied 387 maxillary molars with furcation involvement treated without root resections ranging from five to 20 years. The loss rate was 12 percent. The results of these studies imply that conservative therapy of furcated teeth may help delay their loss. None of the molars in this case received root resection.

Teeth with advanced periodontal disease often exhibit hypermobility. Proper treatment often requires splinting to prevent spontaneous extraction. The method presented in this report was described by Rosenberg and consists of orthodontic mesh wire adapted to teeth with composite.

Frequent maintenance therapy is important to prevent plaque re-infection after periodontal surgery. Lindhe and Nyman studied 61 patients who received periodontal therapy for advanced periodontal disease (more than 50 percent bone loss) and who were maintained every three to six months for 14 years. Each patient received oral hygiene instructions, scaling, root planing and surgical therapy. The results demonstrated that even in cases of severely advanced periodontal disease, a condition of “periodontal health” could be achieved.

CONCLUSION

Case reports alone do not justify a radical departure from traditional methods of diagnosis and treatment, as Moskow observes, but they may still show the need to re-examine commonly held concepts.
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